# Climate Neutral Maple Syrup

PAUL RENAUD

THE LANIGAN GROUP





## Impact of Climate Change on Maple Syrup

- The Climate Change Committee of the Ontario Maple Syrup Association examined all available research on the impact of climate change on maple syrup published in both Canada & the USA
- Since science always evolves, we were careful to include only the findings where every research scientist agreed
  - By excluding "research in progress", we excluded findings which might possibly be validated in future by other researchers
  - However, we also eliminated any speculation or theories which might not turn out to be validated due to regional or methodological differences
  - Our findings can be viewed as "baseline" information upon which decisions can be made with confidence because we did this work to support Ontario's strategic planning process

## The Obvious Problem: Maple Season Timing & Length



- 1. Spring sap flows occur earlier each year and more unpredictably
  - Autumn sap flows are later and eventually may merge with spring flows
- 2. Unpredictability increases risk of the season starting before the sugarbush is tapped
  - Most large producers are already tapping during winter to avoid missing the start of the season
- 3. Timing of end is affected more than the timing of start
  - Buddy sap will appear earlier & more unpredictably
  - Overall season length will get shorter & shorter
- 4. Shifting seasons of unpredictable length makes it harder to plan labour availability



### The Hidden Problem – Slowly declining Productivity

>Warmer & longer growing seasons can benefit tree growth if properly managed

Increased drought frequency & severity can cause seedling mortality, hinder root performance, and impact growth

Higher & more frequent risk of native pest outbreaks and invasive insects

More frequent spring frosts can coincide with budbreak, causing leaf dieback and delay canopy development

Reduced winter snowpack with more winter precipitation falling as rain can cause fine root damage

## If we do not mitigate climate change



Viable Zone For Sugar Maple is Changing

THE VIABLE REGION FOR SUGAR MAPLE IS MOVING NORTHWARD AT THE RATE OF 25 KM / YEAR



## The Imminent Problem : Wind & Ice Storms

- Wind storms are already increasing in both severity & frequency
- Ice storms are occurring more often at both the start & end of winter
- Loss of a tapped maple tree during a wind or ice storm results in > 40 years of lost production
- ≻In 2022:
  - Nova Scotia producers lost their entire season when an ice storm hit them at the start of their season, damaging both trees and infrastructure
  - ➤3 5% of producing maple trees were lost in Ontario due to the derecho wind storm in May
  - Many producers also sustained significant infrastructure damage to pipelines, pumps, etc.
- If 3% of trees are lost each year, the industry may not exist after 20 years
   Can you afford to lose 60% of your sugarbush?

#### What You can Do - 1: Reduce Risk In The Sugarbush

- 1. Use pipelines with advanced sanitation so that you can tap well in advance of spring
- 2. Promote at least 20% biodiversity in the sugarbush
  - ✓ Slows invasive species and disease
  - ✓ Conifers act as wind-breaks to protect maples from wind
- 3. Change thinning practices
  - ✓ Nurture replacement trees
  - ✓ Allow crowns to support each other during windstorms
- 4. Look for opportunities to improve productivity so that you can make the same income from fewer trees
- 5. Apply for crop insurance
- 6. Plant red maple to replace lost sugar maple because it is more tolerant to a warming climate



## What You Can Do – 2 : Lower Your Carbon Footprint

- 1. Maximise sequestration of CO2
  - ✓ Harvest only dead, diseased, or fallen trees
  - ✓ Allow healthy trees to continue to sequester carbon
  - Improve heat use in your evaporator keep the heat under the arch and NOT in your chimney
    - ✓ Wood : exploit secondary combustion, dry wood > 1 year
    - ✓ Oil : reduce fan speeds
    - ✓ Install draft control on chimney to reduce speed of air leaving your evaporator by 30 50%
    - ✓ Use RO to reduce sap by 50%
  - Reduce use of fossil fuel in chainsaws, UTVs, generators

#### What You Can Do – 3 : Become Provably Carbon Neutral

Each mature maple tree has sequestered 1 metric tonne of CO2 to reach 10" DBH	<ul> <li>100 maple trees sequester over 1,000 kg CO2 per year</li> <li>A producer with 1000 taps has a carbon budget of at least 10 metric tonnes of CO2 / year</li> </ul>				
Emit less CO2 than the amount sequestered in your sugarbush each year	<ul> <li>Scope 1: evaporator fuel emissions, sugarbush management (chainsaws, ATVs / tractors, etc.)</li> <li>Scope 2: electricity for RO, pumps, lifts, separators, lights</li> <li>Scope 3: transport of supplies &amp; maple syrup, carbon in bottles / cans</li> </ul>				
ON: 0.085 g CO2e/MBTU QC: 0.005 g CO2e/MBTU Vermont: 0.031 g CO2e/MBTU	<ul> <li>vs 0.1 g for oil or 0.087 – 0.14 g for wood (depending on dryness of wood)</li> <li>Wood emissions are biogenic carbon, so contributes to emissions annually, but not over a 100-year period – however we do not have 100 years to improve our climate</li> </ul>				

#### Annual Sequestration By IPCC-Specified Carbon Pool

#### Annual Emissions By ISO 14064 Scope of Activity



#### Producer-Level Results Identify Areas of Opportunity for Emissions Reductions – Example taken from a recent case study



#### Is it Possible For Maple Syrup Producers using wood to be Climate Neutral?



Number Indicates No. of Taps

We've measured that Ontario producers of all sizes can be carbon neutral even using inefficient wood evaporators

#### Is it Possible For Maple Syrup Producers using oil to be Climate Neutral?

- Oil evaporators are 2x more efficient than wood evaporators due to better heat management
  - Usually more litres of syrup are produced than litres of oil consumed
- Average is > 1 L of syrup / tap for large producers and it is the largest producers who use oil evaporators
- Excess sequestration scales at least 2x faster as the size of the producer grows



>If you consume 1 L of oil / L of maple syrup produced:

- >even if all your other emissions are 10x greater than evaporator emissions
- >Usually evaporator emissions are > 50% of all emissions

≻:

#### What You Can Do – 4 : Improve Evaporator Fuel Consumption

#### Assuming 1 L of syrup / tap and 1 tap / tree in an average sugarbush

Oil	Each tap is a tree that sequesters 32.4 kg CO2e / L of syrup produced	<ul> <li>If you consume 1 L of oil / L of maple syrup produced:</li> <li>Each L of oil emits 4.3 Kg of CO2e</li> <li>If you consume less than 1 L of oil for each litre of maple syrup produced, you will be better than carbon neutral</li> </ul>
Wood	<ul> <li>If you produce &gt; 100 L syrup per cord of wood consumed</li> <li>The 100 trees it takes to produce 100 L will sequester 3,241 kg CO2e</li> </ul>	Emissions vary depending on type & age of wood, but an average of 2800 kg CO2e / bush cord is reasonable

## Wood Evaporator Efficiency vs RO Brix

Divide your total syrup produced (Litres) by Cord of wood consumed

Evapora	itor	Output: L Syrup (@ 66 Brix) / Cord													
Efficie	ncy	50	80	100	200	300	400	500	600	700	800	1000	1500	2000	
	2.5	19%	30%	37%	74%										
	6	8%	12%	15%	31%	46%	61%	77%				Unattainable			
	8	5%	9%	11%	22%	33%	44%	54%	65%	76%	87%				
Input	10	4%	7%	8%	17%	25%	33%	41%	50%	58%	66%	83%			
Brix	12	3%	5%	7%	13%	20%	26%	33%	40%	46%	53%	66%			
	16	2%	4%	5%	9%	14%	18%	23%	27%	32%	36%	45%	68%		
	18	2%	3%	4%	8%	12%	15%	19%	23%	27%	31%	39%	58%	77%	
	20	2%	3%	3%	7%	10%	13%	17%	20%	23%	27%	33%	50%	66%	
Colour		Unaccontable			Not Good			Good		Von Cood					
Legend			Ulla	cceptab	le			NULG	oou		G	Jou	verye	1000	

"I'm just a small producer, how does becoming net-zero even matter against global emissions?"

• Collectively, our actions add up

#### If every maple tap in Canada were as good as the producers in these case studies:

• The amount of sequestration would be equivalent to all the transportation emissions in any of the largest regions in greater Toronto or Montreal

If, with some encouragement, we could do better (e.g. with government incentives):

• The sequestration opportunity would offset the entire emissions of a city of over 500,000 people

#### Top 3 Reasons for Becoming Provably Carbon Neutral

#### **1**. Good for the environment – the moral reason

- Ethically responsible
- Enables immediate action on fighting climate change instead of waiting for others

#### 2. Increases efficiency – the lazy reason

- Don't like cutting wood, buying so much fuel, ...
- Lowers costs

#### **3**. Financial benefits – the greedy reason

- Increased sales to climate-conscious customers
- Opportunity to differentiate in a crowded market
- Potential for downstream tax breaks or incentives

www.spiritintheforest.ca

Pure Maple Syrup

Carbon-Negative Emissions



#### ESPRIT DANS LA FORÊT

Émissions de Carbone Négatif

Sirop d'Erable Pur

www.espritdanslaforet.ca

## Questions?

Paul Renaud paul@spiritintheforest.ca

613-259-3274 (landline) 613-277-5898 (cell)

See also the Net-Zero page at www.spiritintheforest.ca